ijesir NOVUS Publishers

e-ISSN (2785-5740)
https://www.ejatcs.novuspublishers.org/

2022
Vol.1 Issue.1

Research article

Difficulties in Learning the Concept of Gibbs Energy

Melaku Masresha Woldamanuel[1*], Harrison Atagana[2] and Temechegn Engida[3]

[1]Department of Chemistry, Dire- Dawa University, Ethiopia
[2]Institute of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, University of South Africa, (UNISA)
[3]UNESCO-International Institute for Capacity Building in Africa, Ethiopia
Email: sofon2ms@gmail.com

Abstract

The key difficulties in studying the notion of Gibb energy generated and related ideas are segregated in this paper. We have assumed that the documented study of primary qualitative leaps in the development of the Gibb energy hypothesis can help to recognize these challenges to complete this analysis. So we have defined briefly the key conceptual profiles in which Gibbs energy can be interpreted and analyzed by students from two public universities. Ethiopia. We developed and applied an open questionnaire and interviews for this purpose. The findings obtained showed that most students had ontological and epistemological difficulties at the two universities using the Gibbs energy definition, while preferring the use of reasoning in chemistry based on the principles of chemical thermodynamics.

Keywords: Diagnostic Test. Gibbs Energy. Kinetic Energy. Thermo dynamics. Undergraduate Students.

Introduction

As given in Ethiopia, the Harmonized educational plan for the science curriculum for the BSC degree program, MOE 2009, describes the physical universe as Chemical Thermodynamics and plays an important role in our lives. It is a key course and a key element of the international science course (**Goethe and Colin 2018**). In concoction-thermodynamics, where understudies deal with the further development of thermodynamics and energy ideas, the idea of Gibbs energy and related idea is learned in physical sciences courses (**Thomas 1997: Cardellin 2012: Kousa et al. 2018**).

Scientific background

There can be some uncertainty as to whether the concept of Gibbs Energy in the interpretation of compound thermodynamics is one of the major challenges in the path of most practical under-studies and the overly multiple hypothesized science students having never seen what Gibb 's energy is or how it has been planned has frequently created problems for some under-study in physical chemistry (**Nilsson and Niedderer 2014**).Visible changes in strength can be measured and analyzed from a perceptible perspective due to changes in temperature. . While temperature change is an intrinsic part of the improvements in energy that exist at the nuclear stage, most university-level training methodologies do little to accentuate these beginnings: they do not unmistakably connect the already observable (temperature) to the small and sub-atomic (**Vishnumolakala et al . 2017**). Instead, the concepts of energy are discussed under the general heading of "Thermoscience" and later on more and more "Thermodynamics.". Thermos chemistry is concerned with the energy changes that arise when compound structure changes, with measurements that use specific warmth and temperatures for certain understudies.Consequently, enthalpy (H), entropy (S) and energy Gibbs (G), for instance, thermodynamic functions are presented. Nevertheless, the instruction and assessment should not be unpredictable, instead of recognizing the importance of these thermodynamic skills, based on repeated representations of these skills and there is evidence that understudies approach numerical images of thermodynamic capabilities, e.g. enthalpy or entropy, hostile to logarithmic architecture and that even radical research studies may fail to grasp about what these numerical images of thermodynamic capability are about and how improvements in State capabilities are related to changes in subatomic levels. (**Hatfield and Wiemar 2010; Sokrat et al. 2014**) have found that understudies have tried to decode the U = q + W explication, as associated with energy defense in an upper division physical science course. Similarly, (**Bin, K et al 2014**) found that students believed < 0 to be consistent with the Second Thermodynamics Law for spontaneous (that is, thermodynamically favorable) method Subsequently (**Bin, K et al 2014**) found that understudies approved < 0 for unconstrained steps (that is, fine thermodynamically) and indicated that understudies did not decipher the second thermodynamically act will lead to an arrangement. This is confusing, because for some researchers, Gibbs energy is one of the most essential and useful thermodynamic power, but its value is not properly understood.

For example , researchers use this to determine the direction of development in natural structures and to see how paired responses may lead to horrid cycles of a thermodynamic nature (i.e. changes that by themselves lead to reduction of all entropy or a positive vitality shift of the Gibbs).But there is little evidence that studies understand that Gibb 's vitality change is an intermediary for thermodynamics under the Second law, such disorders which indicate the lack of an accurate secret understanding of precisely what clear thermodynamic factors speak of., for example, (**Thomas and Schwenz, 1998: Bink et al., 2014**) found that the change in Gibb 's existence (alias G) in conjunction with the physical science course was identified with the measure of heat going into or out of a system. Sozbilir (2002) noted that students believed that the magnitude of ΔG determined the rate of a reaction while (**Carson and Watson 2002: Sokrat et al. 2014**) observed that students often view entropy as a form of energy. In addition, there is evidence of a difficulty in understanding the essence of heat and energy even among university students. For example, in a chemical reaction, some students consider energy as a substance or material volume (**Duit 1987**) and a driving power or agent (**Thomas and Schwinn1998: Turanyi et al.2013**).

At the heart of comfort and work problems may lie how, for example, terms of "energy" or "heat" contain some illustrations that contrast heat and work with quantities that can be found in everyday life on a regular basis (**Kaper and Goedharets 2002: Jainand Anderson 2012: Lancor 2012**). The language used to examine it frequently. In experienced communications of energy in empirical environments, understudies must consider energy as a representation and a way of thought in tension with ordinary language. These results are unmistakably harmful; the usage of numerical elements to display structures is an important rational activity, and may potentially allow students to recognize the actions and the security of life in more perplexing circumstances.. However, it turns out almost difficult for underscores to consider life as an instrument for reasoning that they then use in suitable ways to explain and predict the outcome of synthetic cycles if an assessment of specific thermodynamic capabilities such as Gibbs' energy may not occur. Often, a thermodynamic treatment of life transitions would not extend the data from undergraduates (e.g. material science) but offers a new collection of concepts and may seem to be solely provided to render predictions for the understudy Instead of an algorithmic interpretation, it is necessary that they pass a measured issue.

Concepts of energy are essential in the type and working of molecule. This are addressed in large part during structure and problem interaction interactions. This concept could be introduced before or after flask science, but it is still important to consider thermos chemistry. It is only at the nuclear sub-atomic level that the interactions are responsible for the visible signs of improvements in energy. Initially, the study identifies and organizes the fundamental problems of undergraduate science in the creation of insights into entropy in physical science and deals with these difficulties through substitutes of approach, shown by the physical science course. In which Structure, Properties and Energy are introduced, three interconnected learning movements have been defined. Numerous undergraduate studies from secondary schools to colleges in many countries, challenges to learn science, and many are not successful (**Reid 2008**).

Exploration has now shown that several under-studies do not correctly grasp the core ideas of science (**Sreenivasvlu and Subramamiam 2012**). In addition, a large number of logically incorrect ideas retained by the under-studies have remained unchanged since the early long periods of university tutoring and, at times, in the past (**Sozbilir et al. 2010**). Many students find it difficult to understand the more advanced concepts that draw on these fundamental concepts by not thoroughly and sufficiently understanding fundamental concepts (**Thomas 1997: Shadreck 2013**).

Literature Review

In Ethiopia contemplates have demonstrated that a noteworthy fundamental substance ideas and response misrepresentations were found by the two educators and understudies in critical and auxiliary schools (**Temechegn 2002: Temechegn and Sileshi 2005: Sileshi 2011: Abayneh 2012, 2013**). However, next to zero work has been done in the nation on thermodynamics. Numerous secondary and college undergraduates encounter issues with big thermodynamic ideas in science (**Carson and Watson2002**). Despite the importance of thermodynamics in the establishment of science, most under-studies are focused on simple courses with minimal understanding of the topic (**Ochs 1996**). Physical science classes, where under-studies discuss further evolved ideas of thermodynamics and electricity, are seen by various under-studies as one of the most difficult courses (**Thomas 1997: Shadreck. M. 2013**). It was recommended (**Sozbilir 2001**) that a large number of rational problems in thermodynamics might have a chronic premise.

Description of Conceptions

Thermodynamics is principal to physical science and the idea of vitality and the differentiation somewhere in the range of concentrated and broad properties contribute an excessive number of spaces in physical science (**Linn and Songer 1991: Sokrat et al. 2014**). Thermodynamics is the road to technology, to physical science and to any popular science. Along these lines, if we are fortunate enough to tackle its embodiment, at some stage we will have the potential to appreciate the theories and norms and incorporate them in our everyday lives, for example; preservation of health in our homes, conservation of internal heat levels, cooking with a microwave, and so on, and we will also appreciate human actions to the extent some we are free and rich (**Nordholm 1997**). Thermodynamics is a major subject in college-level courses, especially in science and physical science. As a result, it is essential to test the under-study's understanding of the physical sciences and their problems in getting to grips with and applying thermodynamics standards to their daily routines regarding the advancement of industry and human life guidelines. Perhaps the most critical argument is that the undergraduates who are the focus of this review will be science educators after they graduate. Their interpretation of the basic thermodynamic thoughts is crucial as far as the ages to come are concerned.

Thermodynamics was used for this study for two reasons. The knowledge of teachers and learning has demonstrated that thermodynamics is a challenging topic for understudy to be learned. When students are asked to solve numerical problems they have the opportunity to solve the problem by using certain algebraic techniques, but most of them are unaware of the understanding behind the problems, the above analysis is close to the results of the research (**Sozbilir 2002: Bin et al. 2014**). At a time when under-studies are being approached to fix mathematical problems they have the option of taking care of the problem by using certain logarithmic methods, considering the fact that the most of them are unaware of the understanding behind the problems, the investigation alluded to above is like the findings of the investigation (**Sozbilir 2002: Bin et al. 2014**).The review of research on under-study learning of important physical and synthetic ideas clearly indicates that much of the basic ideas have not been thoroughly studied (**Sozbilirand Bennette 2007**). Understudies' learning of core ideas relevant to compound thermodynamics has not yet been the topic of insightful exploration, especially at a more advanced level beyond the general sciences (**Thomas 1997: Shadrek 2013**).

Moderately little tertiary analysis of the perception of entropy and Gibbs vitality under-studies has been undertaken (**Carson and Watson 2002**). More research should be done to see what kind of problems understudy the learning of physical and synthetic ideas faces. The challenges of learning are significant for both education and learning..Both science teachers and psychologists believe that attempts to explain and develop science teaching can be based on very simple, important knowledge spaces (**Cheng 2006**). Subsequently, it was thought that it would be useful to undertake an analysis to learn about the learning problems of science students with the critical thermodynamic ability of Gibbs' theories, which are a feature of the physical science course and a consideration that may give rise to these learning difficulties.

Objective of the Study

The purpose behind this study was to settle on the general concept of learning disabilities associated with Gibbs Energy in physical science and to suggest conceivable implications to resolve these problems using an elective approach illustrated in the fundamental physical science courses in which the research framework is organized , properties and energy are incorporated as the interconnected learning trend for understanding thermodynamics ideas for undergraduate studies in science in Ethiopian colleges has been shown.

To enhance this aim the following sub-questions were investigated

1.What is the fundamental definition of learning problems relevant to Gibbs Energy?

2.What are the consequences of learning problems found in the teaching of chemical thermodynamics?

Methodology

Design and Participation

The present research used an articulate approach to reach the argument mentioned above. Data was compiled from 87 undergraduate schools. Both of them was enrolled in Dire-Dawa and Haramaya University, Ethiopia for a Bachelor's degree in Chemistry during the 2011-2012 academic year

Instruments

Two special techniques have been used to collect knowledge. In order to determine the root of an undergraduate analysis to determine the concept of interior vitality, an indicative examination of eight open-ended enclosed investigations has been specifically developed to test undergraduate knowledge on Gibbs Resources. Past training meetings of the researchers allowed them to discern between the students' difficulties in Gibbs Capacity. In order to preserve the substance of the test's validity, four professors were asked to analyze the substance, the proposals sought and the language of the investigations. Both investigations were done with third year students taking the Physical Science course. Undergraduates' perspectives about the substance and wording of the inquiries were taken following they finished the test and required adjustments were made before the organization of the test.

The test was tested under usual class conditions without advance approval two months prior to the end of the year. Respondents were given an average class time of 50 minutes to complete the exam. Understudies were told that the findings of the evaluation would be used for scientific purposes and would be kept secret. In terms of the underlying coding of the reactions, general theoretical issues have been established. These determined challenges verbalized how these under-studies distinguish the ideas of Gibbs Energy, but did not include in-depth clarifications of their own viewpoints. In order to overcome this limitation, thirteen college under-studies were performed with the second instrument in order to clarify their compound reactions and to further test the implemented understandings of the investigations described in the test. Interviewees were chosen based on their reactions on the composed test. In the event that an understudy's composed test reaction exhibited applied learning challenges without giving a top to bottom or away from of their reaction, we mentioned interviews with them. The interviews lasted about 20-30 minutes. All meetings were reported soundly (with the consent of the interviewees) and consequently translated for inquiry. The meetings were not actually set out; rather, they were used to explain the rational learning difficulties of the understudies, based on their reactions.

Data Analysis

The responses of the students to the demonstrative investigations were studied, cognitive learning problems were overcome, and the response rates were calculated. Reasonable learning difficulties faced by more than 25 per cent of subjects are included in this study. Meeting information was not subject to a rigorous examination, but rather was used to assist with the demonstrative test findings.

Results and Discussion

Two types of consequences will be explored. Only there will be the findings of the screening test Second there will be the findings of the interview

Finding from diagnostic test

The symptomatic testing of concepts identified with Gibb's energy was not mainstream, as the clear response rate ranged from 30% to 80%. It was also evident that there was no comprehension of countless students that reacted to the investigations. A large percentage of respondents (80 per cent) left a survey called Gibbs Energy simple in the pre-test students who took part in the study were reluctant to discuss the investigations that established Gibbs' energy due to their lack of data on the concepts.. Also, the meetings which validated the suggestive test data revealed that the student had virtually no knowledge of Gibb energy.The most well-known learning challenges were the disarray of thermodynamics knowledge and energy knowledge under analysis, and were bound to use thermodynamics information to determine the energy of the compound answer. In comparison, the content of Gibb energy lacked 30% Students were only able to rehash the few realities that they had recalled yet failed to apply the few realities that they had retained and still failed to appeal to issues that needed comprehension. Any learning difficulties have been found in more than 95% of the reactions. There are the following:

Gibbs energy is zero at equilibrium; Gibbs energy is the energy that required starting a reaction,

□G = - T

□H, Entropy varies with temperature, Gibb's energy increases with uncontrolled response, the slower the reaction, the fewer varies in Gibb energy, the more changes in Gibb energy generated, the faster the answer occurs. The smaller

□G the faster the reaction occurs, the bigger

□G the earlier the response occurs, the higher the response mind goes towards complete reconciliation, the larger the ΔG, If the response happens soon, it goes towards full completion.

The ability of the students to apply the implemented comprehension stop problem needing diagrammatic representations was found to be limited. Eight different forms of incorrect drawing were described in a query called Gibbs Electricity. The sketches of the understudy revealed a limited grasp of the concept and also illustrated developmental problems and disarrays. In addition, the findings suggested that under-study be very likely to pose new learning difficulties during instruction, suggesting that Gibbs' energy was a difficult thing to get a grip on.

Findings from Interview

The pre-and post-interview revealed several new learning challenges for Gibbs' energy. Which were not differentiated by the poll? In the pre-interviews, the students were only asked what they felt about Gibb's energy and why it is known as free energy. Students' reaction has shown either almost little or no awareness of Gibb energy. The key truth of multiple under-studies remembered was that Gibbs energy helps to determine whether or not a concoction response occurs as seen below.

R: What do you know about Gibbs energy, Ellie?

I: mm…it allows us to measure whether or not the reaction is enthalpy and entropy is used to measure the energy of Gibbs. There's an equation here.

$\Delta G\# \ \Delta H\# T\Delta S\#$ in this equation if $\Delta G<o$, I think there action happens, if $\Delta G<0$ it does not happen. If $G=0$ it is in equilibrium (Student from Dire-Dawa university).

A few of the interviewees demonstrated and what free energy meant. However in general, they were not able to explain why Gibbs energy is known as free energy.

The responses were mainly composed of guesses and showed little scientific explanation as show below:

R; Gibbs energy is called free energy, as you know it. Can you tell me why it was called free energy? Where it could come from?

I: It's a kind of energy when the molecules are stable, don't move, or have init when it's open.… (Students from Dire-Dawa University)

In another interview one of the interview responded to the same questions follows:

I free (long silence) it may be energy of substances when they are free (students from Haramaya university).

The interviewees' responses reflect the everyday meaning of word 'free' unlike what meant by free energy in chemistry.

Post interviews revealed some previously unexplained learning difficulties about Gibbs' energy. Showing all the troubles wear the immediacy. In addition, Gibbs Energy and response rate and the greatness of Gibbs' energy are changing. Student's interpretation of the immediacy of the answer has been reduced as the answer is uncontrolled. Experimentally, as an unbridled parade, this has an inclination. To occur as determined by a negative Gibbs energy change (**Sozibiler 2001**).

Student understands of spontaneous shows parallels with meanings used in everyday language as (**Och 1996**) argues. This can be seen from the following dialogue:

R: What do you mean by spontaneous?

I: Without an external influence, if the conditions are available a reaction can happen without external help, it happens spontaneously

R. Could you give an example?

I: Yes, rusting, rusting of iron...

R. Could you tell me how you can understand whether a chemical reaction occurs spontaneously or not? Is there a reaction? If yes, what is the criterion?

I: Of course there is enthalpy reaction at constant temperature. I mean in spontaneous reaction enthalpy should smaller than zero.

R: Do you mean there action should be exothermic?

I: emm …exothermic, endothermic in fact it is not conditional attend. Enthalpy should be considered but we know like this (Students from Haramaya University).

Understanding the unpredictable nature of the interviewee is not predictable for the rational one. In numerous comparable reactions under study, the periodic significance of unrestricted reactions has been reshaped. It is also evident from the exchange that the interviewee did not understand the standard for an unrestricted response, which is a widespread learning problem among students. They see enthalpy as a model for an unbridled response that is a widespread learning problem among students. They see enthalpy as a model for the abruptness of response rather than Gibb energy generated.

Discussion

For some students, science is seen as a problematic, volatile and complex subject requiring unusual scholarly skill and an immense amount of effort to understand (**Cardellini 2012**). In addition, considering that under-studies have a powerless handling of Chemistry ideas at optional school level, it is an excellent examination for them to accept tertiary science related courses. In order to alleviate this fear, science education researchers, teachers, curriculum planners and several other organizations have attempted, using questionnaires, to pursue students ' expectations in order to recognize the areas and causes of students ' learning problems in chemistry and experiment with new teaching methods. In order to address this uneasiness, science education researchers, educators, instructional organizers and a variety of different organizations have been asked, using surveys, to search for under-study observation in order to assess the areas and explanations for under-study learning difficulties in science and evaluation with new instructional techniques.

Understanding Students' difficulties in science is based on an under-studied understanding of the content of science (**Vishnumolakala et al . 2017**). Data on an auxiliary school's view of science is helpful in preparing procedures to motivate and sustain those student mentalities (**Kousa et al.2018**).The final users of each class guidance are the students. Disregarding the efforts of instructive scientists, teachers, and instructive manufacturers and various different departments, undergraduate science has been weak and inadmissible years on year (**Goethe and Colin 2018: Kousa et al. 2018**). Education and learning of science in auxiliary schools is not at its best anywhere else.

Undergrad science students have only shaped a few confusions and wider speculative frameworks associated with concoction thermodynamics before they begin to recognize their college, and that these significantly affect their comprehension. Past reviews have demonstrated disarray in the use of various thermodynamic concepts such as enthalpy, viability, entropy and motor energy (**Nilsson and Niedderer 2014: Sozbilir 2001: Ribiero et al. 1990: Selepe and Bradley 1997**). This study goes on to claim that it distinguishes a particularly tireless elective framework that interferes with the understudies' understanding of new ideas in thermodynamics.

The above findings indicate a cross-section between the adaptation needs of the under-studies and the material and the thermodynamics approach that they considered. Talk course, model classes and evaluation of all accentuated mathematical computations using thermodynamic conditions. There was little hope of motivating the subjective clarifications of the understudies to discover what they knew before the course started and no hope of building up their subjective understanding during the course (**Gafoor and Shilna 2013**). Rather, students worked out how to manipulate images without knowing the concepts they were referring to. By implementing thermodynamic concepts only in terms of numerical interactions, for example by characterizing Gibbs free energy exclusively as the relationship: $G = H – TS$, students are able to neglect the normal value of the expression when focusing on using it to perform counts.. As a result, students do not contribute to such expressions with any of the Sense that thermodynamics specialists bring to bear and it is ridiculous to anticipate that they could do so. It is therefore important that thermodynamic substances such as entropy and Gibbs free energy be subjectively defined until the numerical expression is provided. All of the above considered findings indicate that the normal methods for promoting material thermodynamics were insufficient to minimize learning difficulties, so this symptomatic analysis outcome suggests that educators should be taught in the best way to give the correct perception of the circumstances and before concoction thermodynamics is seen, all pre-imperative ideas for physical science should be instructed to kill learning disabilities, particularly the Gibbs Energy concept.

Gibbs Energy represented the student’s understanding of the Gibbs energy shift in response, just as the student's ability to place their logical understudy by drawing grams. The result indicated that only a few of the drawings were accurate in the pretest, although no explanation had been given, as a result, the drawings could only have been a simple oversight. In the post-test, one of the four students drew the right diagram and gave the right explanation. There were some in the proper sketches of the respondent, anyway. In a study led by (**Sozibiler 2001: Sokrat et al. 2014**) in response to a comparable Gibbs vitality problem, students were generally drawn to the map.. It was argued that Gibbs' energy increases or decreases directly in order to render the response unrestricted in either A-subject or B-subject, depending on whether A (reactant) or B (item) had more Gibbs energy to begin with this incorrect idea, might start from the way forward to start with this incorrect concept may originate from the fact that the equilibrium came to the most minimal Gibbs energy prostitution as Ana and Jan (2015) contend the Gibbs energy transition would generally become zero as the system approached harmony and zero at equilibrium had a note enlisted in the student's mind. A few respondents began that Gibbs 'energy became zero on balance, suggesting that Gibbs's student misunderstood energy change and Gibbs's own energy because Gibbs' energy change was zero on balance. (**Cochran and Heron 2006: Shadreck 2013**) contend that casual in-class composing often offers information on understudy past information on understudy appraisal of past information and misunderstanding, making the problems even more endless (**Ribeiro 1992: Bin et al. 2014**). As (**Ribeiroetal 1990: Cardellin 2012**) argues that the most ideal way to be aware of the shortcoming of one's own perspective is for travelers to face that of others Discussions with student may give them a better chance of understanding their limitations. In addition, as (**Pushkin 1998: Turanye et al. 2013**) contends, the implementation of such a large number of concepts, one after the other, preserves and develops algorithmic abilities rather than sound learning.

Conclusion

Analysis has shown that various challenges in studying and understanding science appear, in all accounts, to be brought on by a perspective on science education that is essentially associated with a science perspective that is theoretical and not at all connected to the science of day-to-day life. Educating and understanding science in an effective way requires a much wider perspective. The idea of the science material has unmistakably had a huge effect during the time spent planning the preparation and learning steps. However, the descriptions of the structure of the subject must be incorporated in the considerations on the points relating to the instruction and exploration of that particular material and in the reflections on the initial stages of understudy. These early stages of research integrate pre-educational roots of the wonders and concepts to be scholarly, see science and science education, mental skills, interests and inspirations as key highlights of daily life. Exploration has suggested that learning to see requires a working, self-intelligent and self-conscious student to establish his or her own perspective. The instructor can only provide assistance in this growth period, as the information cannot be transferred to the understudies' cerebrum, just as bytes are transferred to the memory of the Computer. The paper looked at the core concept of learning disabilities defined with Gibbs' energy in physical science and suggested possible ramifications to overcome these problems using an elective approach that results illustrate. In order to minimize under-study learning difficulties, teachers can unmistakably approach a portion of the psychological models of under-study with dynamic specific thoughts by defining them with the use of the Gibbs Energy theory. For example, Entropy was seen in obscure terms, such as bedlam or irregularity, on a regular basis without deciding what was disorganized or arbitrary. At a time when under-studies have sought to be more clear, they attribute entropy changes to changes of state rather than to the transmission of vitality in microstates and equate these legitimately with new models being implemented in the thermodynamics course. Not associated with the understudy of previous knowledge, and not usually set to maximum significance. The response to this question is that the concept of using less arithmetic to spend more energy in educating for a fair degree on the basis of day-to-day exercises with deference, taking care of issues by using drawing skills and incorporating results as charts and talks, should be careful not to differentiate between active sand components. The observations of Gibbs' vitality obtained in this study help to lay down the fundamentals to make elective, reliable ways of coping with the existing myths contained in the physical science class.

Recommendations

The discovery of the substance thermodynamics in the area among the under-study of Ethiopians is hindered. In view of the results of the review, the following suggestions were made:

Physical chemistry instructors need to move toward an understudy-focused teaching model. This reality has prompted the execution of novel procedures dependent on dynamic learning, pointed toward drawing in understudies' enthusiasm to tackle issues by utilizing computers to utilize concerned test with new educating techniques. This usage has been normally joined by noteworthy changes in both the educating and learning measures in Ethiopian colleges. The Ministry of Education, instructional curriculum designers and teacher teachers should be reminded of the orderly preparation of teachers for indicative assessment and therapeutic demonstration methods for both pre-service and in-service educators in their training programme.

In order to provide instruction in physical science for more viable learning, teachers need to address a much wider spectrum of problems than the science ideas themselves. These topics are to be understood and discussed above (a) the earlier knowledge of Stu-scratches, (b) the various ways in which science wonders can be interpreted, (c) the meanings of equivalent and comparable concepts used in science and in normal everyday life, and (d) the science of day-to-day living. At a time when students are intensely concerned with their own learning, they typically have a superior understanding of Gibbs Energy and the role of material thermodynamics in their everyday lives. Moreover, activities are all the more enjoyable interactions for both educators and students.

Since this review gives evidence understudies' clarifications of logical wonders rely on the full-scale physical world and have a minimal degree of minuscule level reasoning. Teachers should watch that students have had the correct logical consequences of the concepts they have taught and that they can apply the concepts they have learned in different situations, regardless of whether they are regular or hypothetical. In addition, college teachers can concentrate on out-of-class concepts similar to the rational words they use on a daily basis. They can also review if students have been interpreted in the way they expect.

It is proposed that there be a need to invert the traditional method where mathematical problems are first laid down and the number standing follows. Results promoting Gibb’s energy necessitate new points of view instead of the normal teaching methods, it is obvious from this investigation that traditional training strategies are efficient in managing the learning difficulties of the students.

References

1. Abayneh L 2012.A misconception of twelfth grade students on selected Concepts in two preparatory schools in eastern Ethiopia.AfricanJournal of chemical Education, 2(2): 16-30.
2. Abayneh L 2013.ADiagnostic assessment of eighth grade students and their teacher’s misconceptions about basic chemical concepts. African Journal of Chemical Education, 3(1): 39-51.

3. Anderson B 1990.Pupils'conception of matter and its transformation. Studies in Science Education, 18:53-85.
4. Ana Quilez-Diaz, Juan Quilez-pardo 2015. Avoiding first year university chemistry text book. Misrepresentation in spontaneous reactions. Quim Nova, 38(1): 151-155.
5. Bin K, Moon A, Mack MR, Towns MH 2014. A review of research on the teaching and learning of thermodynamics at the university level. Chemistry Education and Practice, 13: 209-220.
6. Bucy B 2007. Investigations of Student Understanding of Entropy and Mixed Second-order Partial Derivatives in Upper-level Thermodynamics. Ph.D.Thesis, Unpublished. Orono, ME: University of Maine.
7. Cardellini L 2012. Chemistry, why the subject is difficult? Education Quimica, 1-6.
8. Carson E, Watson J 2002. Undergraduate students' understandings of entropy and Gibbs' free energy. University Chemistry Education, 6(1): 4-12.
9. ChengY2006. Macroscopic and StatisticalThermodynamics, world Scientific Publishing
10. Christensen W, Meltzer D, Ogilvie C 2009.Student ideas regarding entropy and the second law of thermodynamics in an introductory physics course. American Journal of Physics, 77(10):907-917.
11. Clark D 2006.Longitudinal conceptual change in students' understanding of Thermal equilibrium: An examination of the process of conceptual restructuring Cognition and Instruction: 24(4):467-563.
12. DuitR 1987.Students' Alternative Frame works in Science Topics, Theoretical Framework, and Consequences for Science Teaching.InNovakJD(Ed)Proceedings of the 2nd International Seminar:Misconceptions and educational Strategies Science and Mathematics,1(1):150-162.Cornell University.
13. Driver R1989. Students' conception and learning of science. International Journal of Science Education.(Special issue),481-490.
14. Ethiopian higher education Harmonized curriculum for BSC Degree program in chemistry.MOE 2009.2(1) 1-40.
15. Francis A, Nathaniel A, Sakin O 2017. A comparison of perceived and actual; students'' learning difficulties in physical chemistry. International Journal of Brain and cognitive Science, 6(1):1-8.
16. Goethe E,ColinaC 2018.Taking advantageof diversity within the classroom. Journal of
17. Chemical Education,95:189-192.
18. Granville M 1985.Students Misconceptions in Thermodynamics.Journal of chemical Education.62 (10): 847-848.
19. Haglund J,Jeppsson F2012.Using Self-generated analogies in teaching of thermodynamics. Journal of Research in Science Teaching.49 (7):898-921.
20. Hadfield L ,Wieman C 2010.Student interpretations of equations related to the first law of thermodynamics. Journal of Chemical Education. 87:750-755.
21. Jin H, Anderson C 2012.A learning progression for energy in socio ecological systems. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 49:1149-1180.

22. Kaper W, Gedhart M 2002. ‘Forms of energy’ an intermediary language on the road to thermodynamics? International Journal of Science Education. 24:81-95.
23. KousaP, Kavonius R,Aksela M 2018.Low-achieving students’ attitudes towards learning chemistry teaching methods.Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 19:431–441
24. Lancor R 2012.Using student-generated analogies to investigate conceptions of energy: A multidisciplinary study-International Journal of Science Education. 71:45-12.
25. Linn M, Songer N 1991. Teaching Thermodynamics to Middle School students: What Are Appropriate Cognitive Demands? Journal of Research in Science Teaching.28 (10): 885-918.
26. Nilsson T,Niedderer H 2014.Undergraduate students’ conceptions of enthalpy, enthalpyChangeand related concepts. Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 15(3): 336-353.
27. Nordholm S 1997.Undefended of Thermodynamics-An Animate Analogy.Journal of Chemical Education.74(3):273-275.
28. Och R 1996. Thermodynamics and Spontaneity, Journal of Chemical Education.73 (10):952-954.
29. Pushkin D B1998. Introductory Students,ConceptualUnderstanding and Algorithmic Success.Journal of Chemical Education.75 (7):809-810.
30. Ribeiro G T C1992. Entropy and the Second Principle of Thermodynamics Fourth Year Undergraduates’ Ideas, Research in Assessment. Royal Society of chemistry, London IX:23-36.
31. Ribeiro M G T C, Periera D J V CandMaskillr 1990. Reaction and spontaneity: the influence of meaning from everyday language on fourth year undergraduates ‘interpretations of some simple chemical phenomena. International journal of Science Education,12(4): 391-401.
32. Shadreck M 2013. University students’ performance in physical chemistry at undergraduate level: perceptions of Zimbabwean chemistry undergraduates and lecturers. International Journal of Educational science and research, 3(2) :89-100.
33. Sileshi Y 2011. Chemical reaction: Diagnosis and towards remedy of misconceptions.African Journal of chemical Education,1(1):47-58.
34. Sokrat H, Tamani S, Moutaabbid M. and Radid M. (2014) difficulties of students from the faculty of science with regard to understanding the concepts of chemical thermodynamics, procedia-Social and behavioral Sciences 116 : 368-372.
35. Sozbilir M 2002. Turkish chemistry undergraduate students’ misunderstandings of Gibbs free energy. University Chemistry Education.6:73-82.
36. Sozbiller M, Bennett J 2007. A study of Turkish chemistry under graduates understandings of entropy Journal of chemical education.84 (7):1204-1208.
37. Sozbilir M,Pynarbapy T, Nurtac C 2010.PerspectiveChemistry teacher’s conceptions of Chemical thermodynamics and kinetics. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, science and technology education.6 (2):111-120.
38. Sozbilir.M. 2001. A study on undergraduates ‘understandings of key chemical ideas in thermodynamics. Ph. D Thesis, Unpublished .University of York,York,UK.

39. Thomas. P, SchwenzR 1990. College Physical Chemistry Students' Conception in Equilibrium and Fundamental Thermodynamics.Journal ofResearchin. Science Teaching .35(10):1151-1160.
40. Tomas P 1997.Student Concepts in College Physical Chemistry. Ph.D.Thesis Unpublished. USA: University of Northern Colorado,Greeley, Colorado
41. TemechegnE.Silieshi Y 2005.Concept-Cartoons: as a strategy in teaching. Learning and assessment chemistry. Addis Ababa. KCTE.V:12-48
42. Temechegn E 2002. Students 'alternative conception: Challenging the challenge, solutions: A biannual newsletter of the chemical society of Ethiopia.1 (2):11-14.
43. TuranyiT,TothZ.(2013).Hungarian University students' Misunderstanding in Thermodynamics and Chemical Kinetics, Chemistry Education Research and practice, 14 : 105-116.
44. Vishnumolakala V, Southam D, Treagust D, Mocerino A, & QureshiS. 2017. Students'Attitudes, Self-efficacy and experiences in a modified process- oriented guidedInquiry learning undergraduate chemistry classroom.ChemistryEducationResearch& Practice, 18: 340–352.
45. Wassel, B. Kerrigan, M. &Hawrylak, M. 2018. Teacher educators in a changing Spain: Examining beliefs about diversity in teacher preparation.Teaching andTeacher Education, 69; 223–233.